1 Data-Level Parallelism

The idea central to data level parallelism is vectorized calculation: applying operations to multiple items (which are part of a single vector) at the same time.

Below is a small selection of the available Intel intrinsic instructions. All of them perform operations using 128-bit registers. When we use an instruction with epi32, we treat the register as a pack of 4 32-bit integers.

Code	Description
m128i	Datatype for a 128-bit vector.
m128i _mm_set1_epi32(int i)	Creates a vector with four signed 32-bit integers where every element is equal to i.
m128i _mm_loadu_si128(m128i *p)	Load 4 consecutive integers at memory address p into a 128-bit vector.
<pre>void _mm_storeu_si128(m128i *p,m128i a)</pre>	Stores vector a into memory address p
m128i _mm_add_epi32(m128i a,m128i b)	Returns a vector = $(a_0 + b_0, a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2, a_3 + b_3)$
m128i _mm_mullo_epi32(m128i a,m128i b)	Returns a vector = $(a_0 \times b_0, a_1 \times b_1, a_2 \times b_2, a_3 \times b_3).$
m128i _mm_and_si128(m128i a,m128i b)	Perform a bitwise AND of 128 bits in a and b, and return the result.
m128i _mm_cmpeq_epi32(m128i a,m128i b)	The ith element of the return vector will be set to 0xFFFFFFFF if the ith elements of a and b are equal, otherwise it'll be set to 0.

A longer list of Intel intrinsics can be found in the precheck worksheet!

1.1 SIMD-ize the following function, which returns the product of all of the elements in an array.

```
static int product_naive(int n, int *a) {
   int product = 1;
   for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
      product *= a[i];
   }
   return product;
}</pre>
```

Things to think about: When iterating through a loop and grabbing elements 4 at a time, how should we update our index for the next iteration? What if our array has a length that isn't a multiple of 4? What can we do to handle this tail case?

```
static int product_vectorized(int n, int *a) {
 int result[4];
 // Vectorized Loop
 for (int i = 0; i < _______; i += _______) {
   prod_v = _____(
   );
 }
 // Handle tail case
 for (int i = _____; i < _____; i++) {
   result[0] *= ______;
 }
}
```

Now we want to write a similar function that will only *add* elements given a certain condition. For example:

```
static int add20_naive(int n, int *a) {
   int sum = 0;
   for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
      if (a[i] == 20) {
        sum += a[i];
      }
   }
   return sum;
}
Fill in the function to use a vector mask to add elements only if they are equal to 20:
static int add20_vectorized(int n, int *a) {
   int result[4];
   // Fill sum_v with zeros
   __m128i sum_v = ______};
   int32_t twenty[4] = {20, 20, 20, 20};
   __m128i vec_twenty = _____
   // Vectorized Loop
   for (int i = 0; i < ______; i += ______){
      // Load array into vector
      __m128i vec_arr = ______;
      // Create vector mask
      __m128i vec_mask = ______;
      );
   }
   // Tail case omitted
}
```

2 Thread-Level Parallelism

For each question below, state whether the program is:

Always Correct, Sometimes Correct, or Always Incorrect

If the program is always correct, also state whether it is:

Faster than Serial or Slower than Serial

Assume the number of threads can be any integer greater than 1 and that no thread will complete in its entirety before another thread starts executing. arr is an int[] of length n.

```
2.1
    // Set element i of arr to i
     #pragma omp parallel
         for (int i = 0; i < n; i++)
             arr[i] = i;
    }
2.2
    arr[0] = 0;
     arr[1] = 1;
     #pragma omp parallel for
     for (int i = 2; i < n; i++)
         arr[i] = arr[i-1] + arr[i - 2];
2.3 // Set all elements in arr to 0;
     int i;
    #pragma omp parallel for
     for (i = 0; i < n; i++)
         arr[i] = 0;
2.4
    // Set element i of arr to i;
     int i;
    #pragma omp parallel for
    for (i = 0; i < n; i++) {
       *arr = i;
       arr++;
    }
```

3 Critical Sections

3.1 Consider the following multithreaded code to compute the product over all elements of an array.

- (a) What is wrong with this code?
- (b) Fix the code using **#pragma omp critical**. Where should you place the directive to create the critical section?
- 3.2 When added to a **#pragma omp parallel for** statement, the **reduction(operation: var)** directive creates and optimizes the critical section for a for loop, given a variable that should be in the critical section and the operation being performed on that variable. An example is given below.

```
// Assume arr has length n
int fast_sum(int *arr, int n) {
   int result = 0;
   #pragma omp parallel for reduction(+: result)
   for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
      result += arr[i];
   }
   return result;
}</pre>
```

Fix fast_product by adding the reduction(operation: var) directive to the #pragma omp parallel for statement. Which variable should be in the critical section, and what is the operation being performed?

6

```
// Assume arr has length 8*n.
double fast_product(double *arr, int n) {
   double product = 1;
```

3.3 Take a look at the following code which is run with two threads:

```
#define N 5

void func() {
   int A[N] = {1, 2, 3, 4, 5};
   int x = 0;
   #pragma omp parallel
   {
      for (int i = 0; i < N; i += 1) {
         x += A[i];
         A[i] = 0;
      }
   }
}</pre>
```

What are the maximum and minimum values that **x** can have at the end of **func**?

4 OpenMProgramming

Consider the following C function:

```
#define ARRAY_LEN 1000

void mystery(int32_t *A, int32_t *B, int32_t *C) {
  for (int i = 0; i < ARRAY_LEN; i += 1) {
    C[i] = A[i] - B[i];
  }
}</pre>
```

4.1 Manually rewrite the loop to split the work equally across N different threads.

```
#define ARRAY_LEN 1000

void mystery(int32_t *A, int32_t *B, int32_t *C) {
    #pragma omp parallel
    {
        int N = OMP_NUM_THREADS;
        int tid = omp_get_thread_num();

        for (int i = ______; i < _____; i += _____) {
            C[i] = A[i] - B[i];
        }
    }
}</pre>
```

4.2 Now, split the work across N threads using a **#pragma** directive:

```
#define ARRAY_LEN 1000

void mystery(int32_t *A, int32_t *B, int32_t *C) {

for (int i = 0; i < ARRAY_LEN; i += 1) {
   C[i] = A[i] - B[i];
}</pre>
```

Instead of saving the product to an array C, we now want to XOR the subtraction of all the elements of A and B.

```
#define ARRAY_LEN 1000

int mystery(int32_t *A, int32_t *B) {
  int result = 0;
  #pragma omp parallel for
  for (int i = 0; i < ARRAY_LEN; i += 1) {
    result ^= A[i] - B[i];
  }
  return result;
}</pre>
```

What is the issue with the above implementation and how can we fix it?

4.4 Solve the problem above in two different methods using OpenMP:

```
(a) int mystery(int32_t *A, int32_t *B) {
    int result = 0;
    #pragma omp parallel for
    for (int i = 0; i < ARRAY_LEN; i += 1) {

        result ^= A[i] - B[i];
    }
    return result;
}

(b) int mystery(int32_t *A, int32_t *B) {
    int result = 0;

    for (int i = 0; i < ARRAY_LEN; i += 1) {
        result ^= A[i] - B[i];
    }
    return result;
}</pre>
```

4.5 Assume we run the above mystery function with 8 threads. The parallel portion accounts for 80% of the program and is 8x as fast as the naive implementation. Use Amdahl's Law to calculate the speedup of the full program where

$$Speedup = \frac{1}{\left(1 - frac_{optimized}\right) + \frac{frac_{optimized}}{factor_{improvement}}}$$

[4.6] What is the maximum speedup we can achieve if we use unlimited threads in the parallel section for an infinite performance increase? Assume the parallel portion still accounts for 80% of our program.

4.7 What does the above result tell you about using parallelism to optimize programs?